

EXHIBIT C

March 20, 2018 - P.M.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

In re: Bard IVC Filters,
Products Liability Litigation)
)
)
)
) MD-15-02641-PHX-DGC
)

Sherr-Una Booker, an individual,
)
 Plaintiff,) Phoenix, Arizona
 v.) March 20, 2018
) 12:59 p.m.
)
C.R. Bard, Inc., a New Jersey
corporation; and Bard Peripheral
Vascular, Inc., an Arizona
corporation,) CV-16-00474-PHX-DGC
)
)
 Defendants.)
)

BEFORE: THE HONORABLE DAVID G. CAMPBELL, JUDGE

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

JURY TRIAL - DAY 4 P.M.

(Pages 780 through 899)

Official Court Reporter:
Elaine Cropper, RDR, CRR, CCP
Sandra Day O'Connor U.S. Courthouse
401 West Washington Street
Suite 312, SPC 35
Phoenix, Arizona 85003-2150
(602) 322-7245

Proceedings Reported by Stenographic Court Reporter
Transcript Prepared by Computer-Aided Transcription

DARREN R. HURST, M.D. - Cross

1 Q. Okay. Let's assume for a moment that that is doubled to
2 112.

3 A. And where are you getting that number?

4 Q. Just a 100 percent increase for the sake of argument.

5 A. Okay. I've seen worse than that. I mean, I've seen
6 numbers where the percent that is reported is approximately one
7 to two percent.

8 Q. Have you not also seen reports that show it at much more
9 also?

10 A. No, I haven't but they may exist.

02:48:17

11 Q. And you are not an epidemiologist?

12 A. I am not.

13 Q. And you have not been trained in that field?

14 A. No, I am not a trained epidemiologist.

15 Q. So let's just assume for the sake of argument 100 percent
16 increase and let's say that Bard had received as of this point
17 112 as opposed to 56 reports of adverse events, so that would
18 be our numerator; correct?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. So the numerator would be 112 adverse events. And based
21 upon what you were telling us earlier or what we were computing
22 earlier, let's do a reduced number for the denominator. That
23 would be the denominator; correct?

24 A. Sure.

25 Q. What sort of rate does that come up with? Can you do that

02:49:23